

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Biot 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 IVWW.uxplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	- CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,296	09/26/2003	Jerrold Arthur Greiff	6497		
	7590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER		
Jerrold Arthur Greiff			SALVATORE, LYNDA		
PMB 434, 6830 Bothell Way NE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Kenmore, WA 98028				TALEKNOMBER	
Kenmore, WA	1 98028		1771		

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlicati	on No	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
		10/670,2	96	GREIFF, JERROLD ARTHUR				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Lynda M		1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 September 2003</u> .								
· <u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	, <del> _</del>							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s)  Application Paper 9) ☐ The specif 10) ☐ The drawi	fication is objected to by the Eng(s) filed on is/are: a)	vithdrawn from control and/or election recommendates and accepted or by	equirement.					
Replaceme	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Referen			4) Interview Summary					
· <u></u>	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- esure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Date	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/670,296 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer

Application/Control Number: 10/670,296

Art Unit: 1771

program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

Page 3

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
  - (1) Field of the Invention.
  - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

The spacing of the lines of the specification is such as to make reading and entry of amendments difficult. New application papers with lines double spaced on good quality paper are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited. Claims 1 and 2 are further indefinite in that they fail to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. These claims are omnibus type claims.

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE

19905871 A1.

The published German abstract teaches an article comprising inner and outer

micro-fiber layers and a foam rubber layer positioned between said micro-fiber layers

(Abstract). With regard to the limitations pertaining to the use as a mouse pad and/or

cleaning cloth, said limitations constitutes an intended use limitations and thus are not

given patentable weight if it can be shown that the prior art meets the chemical and

structural limitations set forth. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention

must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in

order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art

structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See In re

Casev, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

In the instant case, the prior art teaches a micro-fiber layer joined to a foam rubber

layer. The Examiner is also treating the recitation of "the micro-fiber may be adhered to

any number of different types of materials" in the broadest possible sense. In other words,

since Applicant has not limited the structure of the "other materials" to only rubber or

rubber-like, it is the position of the Examiner that any article comprising a micro-fiber

Art Unit: 1771

cloth adhered to "another material" could function in the desired claimed capacity as a mouse pad/cleaning cloth. Further claims 1 and 2 are not limited to only the micro-fiber layer and "another material layer". In other words, absent any type of functional language, which would exclude the addition of other layers it is the position of the Examiner that any microfiber layer joined to "another material" layer in any structural arrangement (i.e., positioned between two layer) would meet Applicant's claim limitations.

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 02118181 A.

The published Japanese abstract teaches micro-fiber cloth comprising a coating or laminating layer of resin and rubber (Abstract).

6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,277,969.

The patent issued to Borri et al., teaches a leather laminate comprising a micro-fiber base sheet laminated to functional substrate (Abstract, Column 3, 57-67, and Claims 17-19).

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 2001/0036529 A1 US 5,695,376

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in

this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda M Salvatore whose telephone number is 571-272-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 571-272-1482. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 10, 2005

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700